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Past Failed Attempts

- Committee on the Cost of Medical Care: 1932
- Roosevelt dropped it from Social Security
- WW II: Employers offer health insurance in lieu of wages
- True Bipartisan Failure: Truman, Kennedy, Nixon and Clinton
- Johnson passes Medicare and Medicaid
- None of current proposals will pass as constituted
- History of Ideological and political failure
Why Constant Failure?

- Public Confusion, so Divide and Conquer wins
- Reform proposals ideological and partisan
- Stakeholder opposition—AMA to PhRMA
- Employer and labor opposition
- Complexity of “System”
- Public held at arm’s length
- No one trusted voice--yet
Complexity

- Benefits defined by employers
- Employers contract with insurers
- Large corporations governed by ERISA
- Small employers regulated by state
- Union Trusts and labor negotiations
- Public Health programs at state and county levels
- Medicare and rate structure
- Medicaid—State and Federal
- 47 million uninsured
- 1300 companies offering health insurance
Consequences of Complexity

• Stakeholders define problem from their view
• Every group has a different definition of what is wrong and who the enemy is
• Health Care as compensation creates use it or lose it mentality
• No one trusted voice
• Lots of deep pocket opposition
• Enough of what is said, rings true
What Other Countries Do

- Government run health care: Britain and Italy
- Shared responsibility: Germany, France and Japan
- Canada
- Australia

Eliminated Administrative/Management complexity
CodeBlueNow!

- National, nonpartisan, coalition building organization—501©3
- Using Consensus building approach to health care reform
Our History

• Formed in 2003 from a National Contest
• 2004 and 2005 Built Infrastructure
• 2006 Pilot Project in Oregon
• 2007 Market Research in Iowa and WA
• Funding from individual private citizens and foundations
• 2008: Pulse Partners; Spreading Results
• Goal: 2010 A Bipartisan Voters’ Health Care Platform
Consensus, Common Ground Exist

- Accountability: Public Reporting/Outcomes
- Equity: Universal Coverage/Core Benefits
- Choice: Any licensed provider
- Affordability: New financial incentives
- Information: Costs, benefits, outcomes
- Prevention over high tech cures
- Shared responsibility: Employer, individuals, government
- Efficiency: Enough money if well managed
Universal Coverage

We must make sure that every person in America has health coverage to assure affordable access to the health care services they need.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Republicans</th>
<th>Democrats</th>
<th>Independents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Basic set of Health Benefits

We should have one set of basic health benefits for all our people.

- Republicans: 30%, 34%
- Democrats: 71%, 66%
- Independents: 46%, 57%
Transparency

Health care professionals should be required to give patients information about costs and benefits of treatments and services so people can make good decisions.

- WA: 85% Republicans, 87% Democrats, 85% Independents
- IA: 84% Republicans, 85% Democrats, 82% Independents
Basic health care benefits should include coverage for any licensed health care professional as long as they are licensed by their profession and the state.
Prevention

Health care services should stress preventing disease rather than relying on high technology cures.

- Republicans: 58% in WA, 65% in IA
- Democrats: 77% in WA, 70% in IA
- Independents: 67% in WA, 74% in IA
We need to have uniform standards and guidelines that health care professionals can use to make sure we receive the most effective care.
Shared Responsibility

Health insurance should be a shared responsibility of individuals, employers and government.

- Republicans
- Democrats
- Independents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Republicans</th>
<th>Democrats</th>
<th>Independents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We need the same kind of public reporting and accountability in health care that we have in public companies, such as water and power companies, so we know where the money comes from, how the money is spent, and why.
Public’s Role

We must let the public have a larger role in designing and managing a new health care system.

- **Republicans**: 59%
- **Democrats**: 68%
- **Independents**: 58%

**WA**
- **Republicans**: 53%
- **Democrats**: 72%
- **Independents**: 57%

**IA**
If there was an organization working with the American public to design a new national health care system that was non-profit and non-partisan—meaning it is not affiliated with any political party, any government agency or any medical or insurance group. It is made up entirely of citizens like you and experienced professionals. Would you trust this type of organization to shape a new health care system for the nation?

- WA:
  - Republicans: 57%
  - Democrats: 70%
  - Independents: 61%

- IA:
  - Republicans: 61%
  - Democrats: 72%
  - Independents: 66%
Employer Should Continue Major Role

Employers should continue to play the major role in providing health insurance for their employees.

- **WA**
  - Republicans: 64%
  - Democrats: 50%
  - Independents: 60%

- **IA**
  - Republicans: 56%
  - Democrats: 63%
  - Independents: 57%
There should be one organization that oversees all our nation's health care and evaluates the effectiveness of all health care services, rather than having the numerous agencies that now exist.
Paid by Tax Dollars

Health insurance should be paid for by tax dollars and managed by local, state, or federal government.

- **WA**
  - Republicans: 14%
  - Democrats: 47%
  - Independents: 24%

- **IA**
  - Republicans: 12%
  - Democrats: 40%
  - Independents: 23%
Health care should be a personal responsibility like automobile insurance.

- **Republicans**: 54% (WA: 58%, IA: 41%)
- **Democrats**: 18% (WA: 30%, IA: 34%)
- **Independents**: 41% (WA: 34%, IA: 30%)
Enough Money to Cover All

There is enough money already going into the U.S. health care system to provide quality care for everyone. We just need to make sure the money is used more effectively and efficiently.

- Republicans: 62% in WA, 59% in IA
- Democrats: 57% in WA, 65% in IA
- Independents: 62% in WA, 61% in IA
What Does This Mean?

• Can’t leave health care to the Parties
• Current proposals as they are presently constituted will not pass
• Parties are playing to their base, not the public
• Independents are the key factor
• Without Independents none of the proposals will pass
Voters’ Health Care Platform

- Enables us to use the ingenuity of the American public to solve problems
- Takes ideas from all sides of the aisle
- Builds on Common Ground and Consensus
- Uses problem solving approach outside of the parties to work on areas where there is not consensus
- Partner with other nonpartisan nonprofits
What CodeBlueNow! Has

• Vision
• Determination
• Talent
• Credibility
• Experience
• Solid track record of measured growth
• Statistically valid data for a baseline
• Growing numbers of community partners
What We Need For Continued Success

• Membership Growth
• Staff Growth
• Resources
• Nonpartisan, workable ideas
• Credible Partners
Will This Work?

- Nothing else has since 1932

But Consider

- Revolutionary War
- Civil Rights movement
- Women’s movement
- Environmental movement

They did not come from the Parties, they came from us

Ultimately it is up to you.